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Some of the perhaps major arguments why dark matter particles cannot exist:

- Rotation curves and fine-tuning between "dark matter" and standard matter
- Lack of bulge-dominated disk galaxies
- No increase in number ratio of elliptical to disk galaxies with cosmic time
- Lack of evidence for dynamical friction:
  explicit examples:
  - M81 group,
  - Sagittarius satellite,
  - LMC/SMC companion galaxies
- Disk of Satellites (DoSs/VPOSs/GPoA) around MW, Andromeda, M81...
- Symmetric structure of Local Goup
- Local underdensity
Rotation curves and fine tuning

Rotation curves
OR
mass-discrepancy — acceleration correlation

Wu & Kroupa 2015
Renzo’s rule

Renzo’s Rule: “For any feature in the luminosity profile there is a corresponding feature in the rotation curve and vice versa.” (Sancisi 2004, IAU 220, 233)

"What is distinctly unnatural is for the baryons to have a perceptible impact where dark matter must clearly dominate." (c.f. LSBs vs HSBs)

Lack of bulge-dominated disk galaxies
"Puech et al. [154] emphasize this tension between observed galaxies and the SMoC and argue that galactic disks may be rebuilt after significant mergers implying that half of all disk galaxies ought to have disks younger than 9 Gyr.

This nevertheless does not solve the problem that more than half of all disk galaxies with circular velocity >150 km/s have no classical bulge [149], while Fernández Lorenzo et al. [150] deduce 94% of their disk galaxy sample to not have a classical bulge.
"Puech et al. [154] emphasize this tension between observed galaxies and the SMoC and argue that galactic disks may be rebuilt after significant mergers implying that half of all disk galaxies ought to have disks younger than 9 Gyr.

This nevertheless does not solve the problem that more than half of all disk galaxies with circular velocity $>150$ km/s have no classical bulge [149], while Fernández Lorenzo et al. [150] deduce 94% of their disk galaxy sample to not have a classical bulge.

The evidence of early formation times at high redshift and short (<2 Gyr) formation timescales of E galaxies also contradicts the merger-driven build-up over time of the galaxy population [Matteucci 2003: 57]. Naab and Ostriker [155] confirm that E galaxies cannot have been forming from disk galaxy mergers."

No increase in number ratio of E to other galaxies
Ratio of massive to less-massive galaxies does not evolve, in conflict with LCDM expectations

Conselice 2012
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Local Galaxies

Ratio of E to other galaxies unchanging?

Delgado-Serrano et al. (2010)

Galaxy mass in baryons

> $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ Msun

6 Gyr ago
Delgado-Serrano et al. (2010)

Galaxy mass in baryons > $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ Msun

6 Gyr ago

Ratio of E to other galaxies unchanging?

Dynamical Friction
Barnes (1998) in "Dynamics of Galaxy Interactions":

"Interacting galaxies are well-understood in terms of the effects of gravity on stars and dark matter."

Figure 1. True nuclear separation as a function of time for NGC 5257/8 (dotted blue line), The Mice (dashed green), Antennae (dash-dot red), and NGC 2623 (solid cyan). Time of zero is the current viewing time (solid gray vertical line). The time since first passages for these systems is 175 – 260 Myr (cf. Table[2]). Colored arrows mark the smoothing length in kpc for the corresponding system; this is effectively the spatial resolution of our simulations and the behavior of the curves on length scales smaller than the smoothing length is not reliable.
Each MW satellite has a pre-infall DM halo:

E.g. a $10^8$ Msun pre-infall satellite ought to have had a DM halo mass $>10^{10}$ Msun such that its orbital decay time would be short.
**Infall from a filament?**


---
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**Table 2.** Galactocentric distances and velocities of the dSphs. For Fornax, Sculptor and Ursa Minor, our $V_0$ corresponds to Piatek et al. (2003, 2005, 2006, 2007) $V$ and our $V_{z0}$ to their $V_z$. For Carina, the proper motion comes directly from Piatek et al. (2011). Distances come from Massey (1998).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dSph</th>
<th>$r_0$ (kpc)</th>
<th>$V_{z0}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_0$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fornax</td>
<td>138 ± 8</td>
<td>−31.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>196 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sculptor</td>
<td>87 ± 4</td>
<td>79 ± 6</td>
<td>198 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursa Minor</td>
<td>76 ± 4</td>
<td>−75 ± 4.4</td>
<td>144 ± 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carina</td>
<td>131 ± 5</td>
<td>113 ± 1.3</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ABSTRACT**

The highly organized distribution of satellite galaxies surrounding the Milky Way is a serious challenge to the concordance cosmological model. Perhaps the only remaining solution, in this framework, is that the dwarf satellite galaxies fall into the Milky Way’s potential along one or two filaments, which may or may not plausibly reproduce the observed distribution. Here we test this scenario by making use of the proper motions of the Fornax, Sculptor, Ursa Minor and Carina dwarf spheroidals, and trace their orbits back through several variations of the Milky Way’s potential and account for dynamical friction. The key parameters are the proper motions and total masses of the dwarf galaxies. Using a simple model, we find no tenable set of parameters that can allow Fornax to be consistent with filamentary infall, mainly because the $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{err}}$ on its proper motion is relatively small. The other three must walk a tightrope between requiring a small pericentre (less than 20 kpc) to lose enough orbital energy to dynamical friction and avoiding being tidally disrupted. We then employed a more realistic model with hot halo mass accretion and found that the four dwarf galaxies must have fallen in at least 5 Gyr ago. This time-interval is longer than organized distribution is expected to last before being erased by the randomization of the satellite orbits.
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ABSTRACT

The highly organized distribution of satellite galaxies surrounding the Milky Way is a serious challenge to the concordance cosmological model. Perhaps the only remaining solution, in this framework, is that the dwarf satellite galaxies fall into the Milky Way’s potential along one or two filaments, which may or may not plausibly reproduce the observed distribution. Here we test this scenario by making use of the proper motions of the Fornax, Sculptor, Ursa Minor and Carina dwarf spheroidals, and trace their orbits back through several variations of the Milky Way’s potential and account for dynamical friction. The key parameters are the proper motions and total masses of the dwarf galaxies. Using a simple model, we find no set of parameters that can allow Fornax to be consistent with filamentary infall, mainly because the 1σ error on its proper motion is relatively small. The other three must walk a tightrope between requiring a small pericentre (less than 20 kpc) to lose enough orbital energy to dynamical friction and avoiding being tidally disrupted. We then employed a more realistic model with host halo mass accretion and found that the four dwarf galaxies must have fallen in at least 5 Gyr ago. This time-interval is longer than organized distribution is expected to last before being erased by the randomization of the satellite orbits.

Therefore...

The present-day motions and distances of MW satellites preclude them to have fallen-in from a filament if they have dark-matter halos.

inconsistency with the dark-matter model

Sagittarius: on a high-energy orbit but still not merged?
LMC/SMC: In DoS so cannot be on first-passage?
Other Consequences

The M81 group of galaxies
- an analogue to the Local Group

Constraints on the existence of dark matter halos by the galaxy group M81
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

According to the standard model of cosmology, galaxies are embedded in dark matter halos, thus extending the mass and size of the visible baryonic matter by one or two orders of magnitude. By means of this hypothesis, which claims an extension to the standard model of particle physics, observed deviations from Newtonian dynamics in galactic dynamical processes find, at a first glance, their appropriate explanation. However, incorporating the influence of dynamical friction established by Chandrasekhar, we obtain the result for the inner M81 group of galaxies that the existence of dark matter halos appears to be implausible. To be precise, the inner M81 group merges too rapidly making the initial pre-interaction phase-space configuration extremely unlikely. This result is derived by the employment of two separate and independent statistical methods, namely a Markov chain Monte Carlo method and the genetic algorithm. Without any exception, all numerical computations have been performed by means of SAP's \textit{ABAP} development workbench, thus facilitating a program development time at least two or three times faster compared to the development environments of \textit{FORTRAN} or \textit{C++}. The conclusions reached here are discussed in view of independent evidence for dark-matter-induced dynamical friction being a relevant process in galaxy evolution.
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Dynamical friction??: the M81 group of galaxies

TIDAL INTERACTIONS IN M81 GROUP

Stellar Light Distribution  21 cm HI Distribution

Last publications (conference proceedings only):

Yun 1999
=> no solutions with dark matter: system merges

Thomson, Laine & Turnbull 1999
=> no solutions with dark matter: system merges
The M81 group must have assembled through the infall of the individual galaxies according to the merger tree which is a necessary part of the hierarchical structure formation in the SMoC. The currently observed configuration of the M81 group constrains the pre-infall initial conditions since for example tightly bound initial conditions are most likely ruled out given that the M81 group has not merged yet to an early-type galaxy.

3.4 Approach

Details regarding the numerical solution of our three-body problem at stake are presented in Appendix C.

At first, calculating three-body orbits backwards up to $-7$ Gyr, statistical populations for the open parameters are generated by means of the methods of Sections 4 (MCMC) and 5 (GA). Additionally to the known initial conditions at present, we added the rather general condition (later referred to as $COND$) that

> both companions M82 and NGC 3077 encountered M81 within the recent 500 Myr at a pericentre distance below 30 kpc.

Each three-body orbit of those populations is fully determined by all the known (Table 1) and open parameters (Table 2, provided by either MCMC or GA) because all together specify a complete set of initial conditions at present. Calculating the corresponding three-body orbits forward in time up to $+7$ Gyr thereafter, the behaviour of the inner group is investigated with respect to the question of possibly occurring mergers in the future. As we will see, statistically significant conclusions can be drawn from these results.
Results

The results arrived at here strongly exclude the process of dynamical friction having played a role in the M81 group of galaxies: if the extensive and massive DM halos were present, then for the M81 system of galaxies to exist in its current pre-merger configuration is extremely unlikely.

Figure 8. GA: Typical solutions for the three-body orbits presented as distances between the galaxies in the time interval from $-7 \text{ Gyr}$ to $7 \text{ Gyr}$. For each Coulomb logarithm model (at top, at bottom) we selected examples with merging galaxies, as well as an example for each model, where the companions both arrive from a far distance and no merger process occurs (see Table 5 and Appendix D for an assessment).

... basically, all members of the M81 group would have to have fallen in synchronously from large distances and have a peri-galactic encounter with M81 at nearly the same time without having merged yet.

This is arbitrarily unlikely.
AND, there are many other similar groups.

The *Hickson compact groups* are particularly troubling for LCDM, because they all must have assembled during the past 1-3 Gyr with all members magically coming together for about one synchronised perigalactic passage, while the remnants (field E galaxies with low alpha element abundances from previously such formed groups) do not appear to exist in sufficient numbers.
AND, there are many other similar groups.

The *Hickson compact groups* are particularly troubling for LCDM, because they all must have assembled during the past 1-3 Gyr with all members magically coming together for about one synchronised perigalactic passage, while the remnants (field E galaxies with low alpha element abundances from previously such formed groups) do not appear to exist.

From various surveys (Delgado-Serrano et al. 2010; Conselice 2012) we know that the co-moving volume number ratio (E/S galaxies) does not evolve with redshift.

Abundances and ages of most field E galaxies and of fossil group E galaxies are very similar to Es in clusters (Pompei & Iovino 2012).

citing from *COSMOS - The SAO Encyclopedia of Astronomy* on Hickson Compact groups:

"The velocities measured for galaxies in compact groups are quite low (~200 km/s), making these environments highly conducive to interactions and mergers between galaxies."
"The velocities measured for galaxies in compact groups are quite low (~200 km/s), making these environments highly conducive to interactions and mergers between galaxies.

However, this makes the formation of compact groups something of a mystery, as the close proximity of the galaxies means that they should merge into a single galaxy in a short time, leaving only a fossil group.

This would mean that compact groups are a short-lived phase of group evolution, and we would expect them to be extremely rare.
"The velocities measured for galaxies in compact groups are quite low (~200 km/s), making these environments highly conducive to interactions and mergers between galaxies.

However, this makes the formation of compact groups something of a mystery, as the close proximity of the galaxies means that they should merge into a single galaxy in a short time, leaving only a fossil group.

This would mean that compact groups are a short-lived phase of group evolution, and we would expect them to be extremely rare.

Instead, we find a significant number of compact groups in the nearby Universe, with well over 100 identified."
How can the MW and Andromeda satellite systems be so correlated, if they are sub-halos falling in individually?

Figure 16. Edge-on view of the satellite galaxy planes around the MW and M31, similar to Fig. 9 for the LG planes. As before, galaxies which are
A frightening symmetry

Figure 9. Edge-on view of both LG planes. The orientation of the MW and M31 are indicated as black ellipses in the centre. Members of the LGP1 are plotted as yellow points, those of LGP2 as green points. MW galaxies are plotted as plus signs (+), all other galaxies as crosses (×), the colours code their plane membership as in Fig. 6. The best-fitting planes are plotted as

...the arrangement of matter in the Local Group is 

totally incompatible 

with the dark-matter-dominated structures.

The symmetry of the Local Group constrains the motion of M31 relative to MW.
A not-so local under-density

Measured matter density as a function of distance

Kroupa 2015
All these problems rather convincingly indicate that *dark matter particles cannot exist* such that they are dynamically relevant.

They are in any case not part of the SMoPP and they have not been found directly nor indirectly.

**Therefore:** Milgromian dynamics and PoR . . .

Essentially all of the above problems are then solved trivially,

except LG symmetry and the "local" under-density.